



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/885,707 | 06/20/2001 | Arnoldus Werner Johannes Oomen | NL 000332 | 4224 | |
| 24737 | 7590 05/26/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | TELLECTUAL PROP | OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N | | | |
| P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFI | 01 FMANOR, NY 10510 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | , | | 2655 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicat | ion No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/885,7 | 07 | OOMEN ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | Examine | r | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | ľ | N. Opsasnick | 2655 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SH THE - Exter after - If the - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGN SOLD IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGN SOLD IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. b) days, a reply within the sta tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ac | vent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) o vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS for plication to become ABANDO | timely filed lays will be considered timely om the mailing date of this of NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y. ommunication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) file | d on <u>22 December</u> : | <u>2004</u> . | | | | | | |
| | 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Infor | nt(s) De of References Cited (PTO-892) De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Proving Indianal Date (1998) | | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | | O-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gersho et al</u> (6475245) in view of <u>McAulay et al (5054072)</u>.

As per claims 1,6,9, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches an apparatus and method:

"determining frequency......amplitude information" as sinusoidal based encoders including frequency information (col. 4 lines 16-20, lines 60-64).

"characterized.....parameters" as phase synchronization information into the transition and voiced coder (Fig. 4a, subblocks 30 and 32).

Although Gersho et al discusses transmitting parameters that are used to recalculate the phase information (col. 15 line 50 – col. 16 line 10), the transmitted parameters themselves, although directly used to recalculate the phase, are not explicit phase parameters per se. McAulay et al (5054072), however, teaches the transmission of

Art Unit: 2655

explicit phase parameters (McAulay et al (5054072), Fig. 1, subblock 30 and 44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of audio encoding/decoding to modify the phase calculation portion of Gersho et al (6475245) with the phase information calculation and transmission as taught by McAulay et al (5054072) because that particular phase calculation technique could accurately capture the random noise-like quality deviation present during unvoiced speech (McAulay et al (5054072), col. 8 lines 66-68),

As per claim 2, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches:

"phase jitter.....track" as initialized phase information (col. 15 lines 34-37; and tracked via equation 12).

As per claim 3, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches:

"phase jitter.....frequencies" as generating phase information by calculating a difference between the transition frame and test frame (col. 15 lines 33-40).

As per claim 4, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches:

"determining.....said difference" as deriving the lag via a correlation calculation between current phase and initial phase (col. 15 lines 35-59).

As per claims 5,7, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches:

Art Unit: 2655

"receiving....component" as receiving the sinusoidal information (fig. 5, subblocks 104,106, and 108).

"restoring....parameters" as LP synthesis reconstructed speech (fig. 5, subblock 120).

"characterized in that.....jitter parameter" as receiving the phase sync parameter (Fig. 5, subblock 1222 + col. 16 lines 45-65).

As per claims 8,11, <u>Gersho et al (6475245)</u> teaches a audio coder as speech compression and transmission (so that the speech can be re-generated or 're-played' at the decoder end -- col. 3 lines 50-67).

As per claim 10, Gersho et al (6475245) teaches storing the signal (col. 3 lines 60-61).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.

Art Unit: 2655

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Mr. David Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593. The facsimile phone number for this group is (571)272-7629.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (571)272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mno 5/24/05

T

Michael N. Opsasnick

Examiner

Art Unit 2655